Submission No.			114		
Organisation Name or Name of Submitter			Helena Kelly		
Item No.	Section Ref.	Page No.	Observation Statement	TII Response	
Re: Railway	(Metrolink-Est	uary to Ch	arlemont via Dublin Airport) Order 2022 Case Refernece Number NA29N.314724	13/01/2023	
1	Letter	1	Firstly, in general, I am in favour of the broad aim of the Metrolink project to connect Dublin's city centre to our national airport. However as a resident living in the Dartmouth/Charlemont area, I wish to set out a number of observations for the Board regarding the proposal to locate the terminus station at Charlemont-Dartmouth. My family home is in the midst of the community where the Terminus station is proposed to be located.	., Thank you for taking the time to make a submission and your overall endorsement of the MetroLink Project with the exception of Charlemont Station. We have reviewed your submission and responded to the observations made below.	
2	Letter	1	Charlemont is the incorrect strategic location for a Terminus hub and spoke system as it is too far out along the Luas Green Line spoke and would prejudice future options for integration of networks and services.	Till do not agree that Charlemont is the incorrect location for an interchange with the Luas Green Line or that it prejudices future options for integration with the wider transport network for the reasons set out below. The connection from St Stephens Green to Charlemont / Ranelagh is supported by the previous Transport Strategy for Greater Dublin Area (2022-2042). The latter considers a range of options for the onward extension of MetroLink to meet the demand for travel over the period of the strategy. This includes consideration of the need for the upgrade of the Luas Green Line to metro with a metro extension to Dublin south west, south or southeast. Whilst the strategy envisages that further extensions will be delivered after 2042, MetroLink which terminates at Charlemont allows for the possible extension of the metro in all the above directions. The proximity of the metro to the Luas line at Charlemont provides for a positive customer experience for all users with short interchange distance and due to the proximity, clear wayfinding and high visibility of the interchange arrangements at Charlemont provide for significantly better interchange arrangements compared to an interchange. The interchange arrangements at Charlemont provide for significantly better interchange arrangements compared to an interchange at St Stephen's Green Station. The section of MetroLink route between St Stephen's Green and Charlemont Stations contributes significantly to the overall benefits of the scheme. It serves a significantly nate as of the south city of Dublin and offers enhanced access from the local area to the city centre and a direct connection to Dublin Airport. It serves key trip attractors including residential areas and offices / workplace locations, with high passenger alighting, 2,300 boarding and 1,229 passengers alighting, 2,276 boarding during the evening peak. The passenger numbers contribute significantly to the overall benefits of the scheme and the effect of these benefits outless from the local arrange	

Submission No.			114		
Organisation Name or Name of Submitter			Helena Kelly		
Item No.	Section Ref.	Page No.	Observation Statement	TII Response	
Re: Railway	(Metrolink-Est	uary to Ch	arlemont via Dublin Airport) Order 2022 Case Refernece Number NA29N.314724	13/01/2023	
3	Letter	1	St. Stephens Green is the most appropriate location as it provides for interchange with bus, Luas and future DART underground. The project incorrectly dismisses St. Stephens Green Bast and Charlemont. Purchemore, no comprehensive study or investigation has been completed by NTA/Til as part of the entire Metrolink project on the optimal location for a city-centre terminus.	It is not correct to say that the Project "only considers St. Stephens Green East and Charlemont." A number of route options were considered in the process of identifying the Emerging Preferred Route (EPR). These route options included potential station locations on St. Stephen's Green West is not preferred for several reasons: If a station was placed on St Stephen's Green West, the alignment between the proposed Tara Station and a station on St Stephen's Green West is not preferred for several reasons: If a station was placed on St Stephen's Green West, the alignment between the proposed Tara Station and a station on St Stephen's Green West would result in an undesirable horizontal reverse curve and an alignment greater than a 1000m long that would necessitate an oppose the station of the Strategy Additional construction would be required to provide such a facility, similar in size to the proposed Albert College Park Intervention shalt. This could feasibly be situated in the Trinity College Dublis sports grounds. If note that it is Government policy to provide a station and interchange with the Luas Green Line at Charlemont, as set out by the GDA Transport Strategy 2022 – 2042. Should a station be placed on St Stephen's Green West, the alignment between a station located here and long, and would this again require an intervention shalt similar to the proposed Albert College Park Intervention shalt is one provided this again require an intervention shalt similar to the proposed Albert College Park Intervention shalt to be provided. This would be expected to result in significant demolition and redevelopment in an existing built up area. In both cases, compared to the proposed alignment that runs much more directly between Tars, St Stephen's Green and Charlemont, the additional length of turnel and the addition of two intervention shafts generated by locating a station on St Stephen's Green West itself is a very constrained location due to the presence of buildings, Luas and St Stephen's Green Park. Maintain	

Submission No.			114		
Organisation Name or Name of Submitter			Helena Kelly		
Item No.	Section Ref.	Page No.	Observation Statement	TII Response	
Re: Railway	(Metrolink-Est	uary to Cha	arlemont via Dublin Airport) Order 2022 Case Refernece Number NA29N.314724	13/01/2023	
			Response (3) continued	The terminus station for MetroLink is located at Estuary where all of the activities normally associated with a terminus take place. At this location the high capacity public transport offering terminates and the public transport offering transfers to a completely different mode, i.e. Bus . The environmental effect of the Metrolink terminus are accordingly assessed in the EIAR. Charlemont Station does not have the associated infrastructure and services associated with a terminus location and in fact has more in common with a "system turn back location". Charlemont Station is located within an area of high public transport accessibility, inking with the Luas Green Line which offers reasonably similar levels of services and frequency for journeys to and from the south of Dublin. As such, public transport service offering is not considered to terminate, but transfers onto the similar service offered by the Luas Green Line, forming part of a transport corridor running from Cherrywood to Estuary. The associated environmental impacts for the turnback and station at Charlemont have been fully assessed in the EIAR. Charlemont station itself was chosen on the basis of its interchange potential with Luas, as well as local bus services, as outlined above. The section of the line between \$1 Stephen's Green and Charlemont generates considerable benefits for the scheme in terms of increased patronage. The fact that the Charlemont Station is now being referred to and considered as a "furnius station" rather than an interchange station, does not increase the environmental impacts the station has on its local environment, in terms of passenger demand, airborne noise, vibration and other environmental effects. If the scheme were to terminate at \$1 Stephen's Green, it would be important to determine where the next station south of \$1 Stephen's Green would be located for a future extension as required in the Draft Transport Strategy for Greater Dublin Area (2022-2042). With single bore tunnel configuration, the maximum distance	

Submission No.			114		
Organisation Name or Name of Submitter			Helena Kelly		
Item No.	Section Ref.	Page No.	Observation Statement	TII Response	
Re: Railway	(Metrolink-Est	uary to Cha	arlemont via Dublin Airport) Order 2022 Case Refernece Number NA29N.314724	13/01/2023	
4	Letter		2. Expensive Duplication of Rail infrastructure: - The inclusion of an expensive and costly section between St. Stephens Green and Charlemont is strategically weak and duplicates the existing Luas Green Line services. NTA's cost estimate for this 1km section at €650M is an expensive duplication and significant investment that deprives other parts of Dublin that are in immediate need of rail infrastructure to support urgently required housing and urban development.	Till do not agree with this statement for the reasons set out by response (2) above, noting that infrastructure is not being duplicated given the capacity of the Luas south from St. Stephen's Green is restricted due to on-street running. There is a limit to the potential of the Luas to provide additional capacity in the on-street non-segregated section of the Luas Green Line from Charlemont northwards through the city centre. The nature of this route and the fact that it currently crosses several road junctions (Adelaide Road, Harcourt Street / Hat Street per and Harcourt Street / Stephen's Green bill limit the service to a maximum of 24 trams per hour per direction. The projected demand for this section would require a higher frequency of up to 30 trams per hour and this demand cannot be met with on-street systems (Luas / bus). The interchange between Luas and MetroLink proposed at Charlemont will provide the necessary capacity to address the demand on this corridor and reduce overall travel time for passengers There is also high passenger demand forecast for a MetroLink station at Charlemont, including from the Ranelagh area, which would be lost if St. Stephen's Green was the MetroLink southern interchange station. The additional fare revenues collected by the Charlemont Station interchange increase the benefits delivered by the Project, reflected by an improved Project Benefit Cost Ration (BCR). Further, to ensure that public investment delivers value for money, the Public Spending Code sets out requirements for the evaluation, planning and management of public investment. The preparation of a Business Case is a key element of meeting these requirements. The Public Spending Code requires that both the Preliminary Business Case and Final Business Case for public investment projects are published. In July 2022, the Government granted Approval in Principle to the NTA to enable the submission of a railway order application by Til to An Bord Pleanátor in respect of the MetroLink project (Decision Gate 1). Thi	
5	Letter		3. The station box at Charlemont, as constructed in 2021/22 by the Developer Hines, does not have the benefit of planning permission and has not been part of the EIA undertaken for this project. Processing the current Railway Order application, which is reliant on these preliminary and now constructed works, is legally unsafe and contravenes the provisions of the EIA Directive.	The MetroLink enabling works constructed as part of the Hines development was included in the planning application for the Hines Development and has the benefit of planning permission which was granted in April 2019.	

Submission No.			114		
Organisation Name or Name of Submitter			Helena Kelly		
Item No.	Section Ref.	Page No.	Observation Statement	TII Response	
Re: Railway	(Metrolink-Est	uary to Ch	arlemont via Dublin Airport) Order 2022 Case Refernece Number NA29N.314724	13/01/2023	
6	Letter	1	4. The station box at Charlemont will result in only one possible future tie in with the Luas Green Line to the south, which would result in an option that was previously dismissed as part of the Tie-In study from March 2017. No alternatives to the station box at Charlemont were considered as it had been fixed through the design of the overhead Hines Grand Parade commercial development. The implications of this new alignment is very significant on our wider community as it will involve top-down construction that will only be possible when many houses on Manders Terrace, Oakley Road and Charleston Road are demolished.	The station box at Charlemont allows for a future tie into the Luas Green Line should it be determined in the future that through running metro services to Sandyford is the required solution to address the public transport needs to the south of the city. It is incorrect to say that the current proposal is based on an option that was previously dismissed as part of the March 2017 Green Line tie in study. The station design is in affect a modification to the preferred Green Line Tie Option 4B which was modified as result of the postponement of the upgrade of the Green Line to metro standard. The station box location was not fixed by the Charlemont Development. The preferred route for MetroLink was published in March 2019 following a comprehensive route options study. The preferred route was based on the emerging preferred route for the scheme which included a station at Charlemont. The Charlemont Metro Enabling Works were constructed to enable the Charlemont Development to proceed whits simultaneously ensuring here was an option available to construct a station at Charlemont Development to proceed whits is simultaneously ensuring here was an option available to construct a station at Charlemont that avoided unnecessivaty demolition, took advantage of an available site, provided infrastructure that is integrated with planned development rather than necessistating later changes and retrospective adjustments to a new development or even possible demolition of the new development, whilst providing protected provision for the future extension of the scheme south, if required. It is also important to recognise that the station location at Charlemont is influenced by available vacant land and thus avoids unnecessary demolition. It is also green that the short-term implications for local residents will be significant as the scheme progresses through the construction stage, however the EIAR assesses the environmental impacts of the construction phase and commits to the implementation of appropriate mitigation measures	
7	Letter	2	5. The Environmental Impact Assessment is inadequate in relation the description of development, alternatives, transport assessment, noise and the cumulative effects of the development on the Charlemont-Dartmouth Community. For a project of this size, scale, investment to date, it is inadequate to propose a Railway Order with so many important studies and analysis missing.	Till do not agree that the Environmental Impact Assessment is deficient, inadequate or missing information. The Railway Order application comprises a very detailed environmental impact assessment that has identified and assessed the potential environmental impacts of MetroLink and proposed mitigations for these impacts where necessary. Till would also draw attention to the detailed project description, construction phase description and operational phase description provided in EIAR Chapters 4 and 5 and 6, and EIAR Chapter 7 and associated appendices that present details of alternatives considered. EIAR Chapter 9 and appendices provides a detailed analysis of transport and traffic effects, and EIAR Chapters 13 Airborne Noise & Vibration, and 14 Groundborne Noise & Vibration provide a detailed assessment of potential noise and vibration effects, while Chapter 29 outlines the assessment of interactions between various environmental aspects, and Chapter 30 covers the cumulative impacts with other projects.	

Submission No.			114			
Organisation Name or Name of Submitter			Helena Kelly			
Item No.	Section Ref.	Page No.	Observation Statement	TII Response		
Re: Railway	(Metrolink-Est	uary to Ch	arlemont via Dublin Airport) Order 2022 Case Refernece Number NA29N.31472	4 13/01/2023		
8	Letter	2	6. The development would result in noise and disturbance during the construction and operational phases and would result in a loss of amenities for the area.	The EIAR presents a comprehensive and detailed assessment of both groundborne and airborne noise and vibration in Chapter 13 and 14 of the EIAR. The assessments include for predictive modelling in order to identify the potential impacts on all sensitive receptors during both the construction phase and the operational phase. Noise and disturbance during construction: No profound impacts have been identified for residents and mitigation measures proposed will be effective at reducing the impacts on these properties and in general term impacts will be associated with the construction phase only. Senificant mitigation is proposed to include 4m high noise barriers and further proposed mitigation in line with the Alborne and Groundborne Noise Mitigation Policy. On the implementation of these measures the reducial impacts are predicted to be mederate. However, as outlined in Transport Infrastructure ireland (TIII) Airborne and Groundborne Noise Mitigation Policy (Appendix A14.6) there is a process in place whereby further mitigation measures can be implemented at individual properties should this be merited. Noise and disturbance during operation: No residual noise impacts are identified at this location during operation. The calculated rail noise levels across the proposed Project are not significant in terms of any widespread community disturbance and results in a not significant to slight impact when added to the prevailing noise environment. Loss of amentity during construction: EIAR Chapter 11, Population & Land Use provides an assessment of effects on community amenity during construction and operation, which relates to the interaction of impacts on air quality, visual amenity, traffic and transport, and noise and vibration. At this location during construction as outlined in Section 11.5.2 of Chapter 11, no impacts are identified on the retail sector or community and social infrastructure (e.g. schools or hospitals). Any severance/disruption to transport, and noise and vibration. No significant residual		

Submission No.			114		
Organisation Name or Name of Submitter			Helena Kelly		
Item No.	Section Ref.	Page No.	Observation Statement	TII Response	
Re: Railway	(Metrolink-Est	uary to Cha	arlemont via Dublin Airport) Order 2022 Case Refernece Number NA29N.314724	13/01/2023	
9	Letter	2	The Traffic Study for the local Charlemont area is wholly inadequate as it omitted the modelling of the impact of Airport users coming to the only Dublin South Metrolink station at Charlemont. The Traffic Study uses a strategic, generalised regional model that does not take local factors into account. Extract from observation 7) - The EIA did not properly assess the impact of additional local traffic volumes, rather they used a generalised regional model that does not take local factors into account. A key local factor at a Terminus station in Charlemont that runs to the Airport is the huge volume of anticipated airport users from Dublin South and greater Dublin/Leinster that will cone Charlemont via car or taxi with luggage for onward destination to the airport. Grand Parade and the residential area around Charlemont-Dartmouth cannot sustain the significant additional traffic volumes associated with this development.	The MetroLink forms part of an integrated public transport network. The system is designed in an integrated manner so that people travelling from the area south of Dublin to access locations north of Charlemont, such as Dublin Airport, Mater, Swords etc. will utilise public transport to interchange with the MetroLink, or will walk or cycle to access their local station. The system is not designed to encourage people to drive to stations within the City and TII actively discourage people from doing so other than the Park & Ride station at Estuary. TII therefore do not agree with the observation that there will be a "huge volume of anticipation groot user from Dublin South and greater Dublin/Leinster that will come to Charlemont via car or taxi with luggage for onward destination to the airport" as this is not borne out by our transport analysis. The Transport Assessment for MetroLink includes for people travelling to/from Dublin Airport from all areas within the extents of the GDA area, therefore it is incorrect to say "The Traffic Study for the local Charlemont area is wholly inadequate as it omitted the modelling of the impact of Airport users coming to the only Dublin South Metrolink station at Charlemont." The NTA's Eastern regional Model (ERM) incorporates a wide range of data sources, including demographic data, land use data, transportation network data, and travel survey data. The system is designed to model a variety of transportation modes, including private wehicles, public transity, walking, and cycling, and to simulate the interactions between these modes. The RM model has been validated and calibrated using a range of localised data sources to ensure that the model can accurately represent the transport and seben validated and calibrated using a range of localised data sources to ensure that the model can accurately represent the transport and seben or which include public transport and wehicle counts from the combic transport and wehicle counts from the combic transport and wehicle counts from t	

Submission I	No.		114		
Organisation Name or Name of Submitter			Helena Kelly		
Item No.	Section Ref.	Page No.	Observation Statement	TII Response	
Re: Railway	(Metrolink-Est	uary to Ch	arlemont via Dublin Airport) Order 2022 Case Refernece Number NA29N.314724	13/01/2023	
10	Letter	2	7. The development would have an adverse impact upon traffic during the construction and operational phase, and it has not been properly designed and there is poor integration with other modes of transport. Pedestrian movements in and around the station would be difficult. Grand Parade is an already heavily congested orbital route.	The MetroLink is designed to form part of an integrated public transport network with Charlemont selected as the preferred interchange location in order to maximise the potential interchange with the existing flus Greene line. In overall terms, Charlemont Station will provide or improvements to the public transport network resulting in decreases in private car usage flyrob, increases in public transport usages and will facilitate walking and cycling to the station, without significantly impacting on the operation of the road network in the area. Construction Phage Proposed Charlemont Station during the construction phase. At the local level the following parameters have been used to assess impacts on general traffic and on pedestrians: Increase in validing distance/quality of service for pedestrians (through removal of footpath, reduction of quality of service, removal of a pedestrian cossing or relocation of crossing by more than 100m): **Increase in validing distance/quality of service for pedestrians (through removal of footpath, reduction of quality of service, removal of a pedestrian crossing or relocation of crossing by more than 100m): **Increase in divider delays at junctions of the validinary of the construction of the proposed Charlemont of the validinary of the validinary of the validinary distance travelled due to diversions. **National distance travelled due to diversions.** The analysis undertaken at this location indicates that the increased volume of traffic on Grand Parade and Northbrook Road does not translate into any significant increase in driver delay of 12 seconds is registered on the westbound approach on Grand Parade to the Ranelegh Road signalised junction in quality of pedestrian infrastructure and space. The construction site boundary will encroach upon footways in the local area, including the northern side of Grand Parade to the Ranelegh Road signalised junction at Charlemont State on unaintain pedestrian access to and from the Stop. Whilst there are partial closures on Dartmo	

Submission	No		114		
Organisation Name or Name of Submitter			Helena Kelly		
Item No.	Section Ref.	Page No.	Observation Statement	TII Response	
Re: Railway	(Metrolink-Est	uary to Ch	arlemont via Dublin Airport) Order 2022 Case Refernece Number NA29N.314724	13/01/2023	
11	Letter	2	8. The development will have an adverse impact upon property values, particularly during the construction phase. For many houses in the area there will be a long term and permanent adverse impact upon property values from noise of the operating rail infrastructure, vents, operational noise and signals, escalators, and large traffic volumes - vehicular and pedestrian using the station 19 hours per day. The adverse impact also extends to the loss of amenity for the wider community changing a residential neighbourhood into a noisy, busy, congested major transport hub.	Response (8) above outlines the predicted environmental impacts with regards to noise and vibration, and amenity, while responses (9) and (10) summarise the assessed traffic and pedestrian impacts. TII would note that as explained by response (10) above that in overall terms Charlemont Station will provide for improvements to the public transport network resulting in decreases in private car usage/trips. TII do not agree that the development will have a long term and permanent negative affect. In fact there is strong evidence to suggest that property values will in fact increase in close proximity to public transport infrastructure and that local residents will greatly benefit from having a world class metro system providing access to the city centre, airport and north city at their door step.	
12	Letter	2	9. The development would lead to Anti-Social behaviour. I have significant concerns that this major interchnage station will attract anti-social behaviour for up to 19 hours a day. This is commenon with many European capital interchnages. This currently relatively quiet residential area that benefits from amneities, but the potential for late nifght arrivals, taxi pick-ups, drop-offs and anti-social behaviour would disturb these amenties and alter the nature of the area.	The risk of anti-social behaviour has been considered by the Project and is addressed by EIAR Chapter 6, MetroLink Operations and Maintenance. Section 6.6.5.8 specifically addresses how this will be managed, including how the architectural and urban realm design is designed to discourage anti-social behaviour, for example through the attractive setting, use of public lighting, open sight-lines, and avoidance of areas where individuals and groups of people can hide. Subject to data protection impact assessment and compliance with the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) CCTV will also be installed throughout the MetroLink system, to provide general security and surveillance of all the public areas. Til would also note Charlemont Station has been deliberately designed without drop-off (with the exception of a drop only for persons of restricted mobility on Grand Parade) and taxi pick-up areas.	
13	Letter	2	Accordingly, we are requesting the following amendments of An Bord Pleanála: 1. Omit from the Railway Order the section from Tara Street Station to Charlemont Station and associated onward tunnel extension and intervention tunnel. 2. Require the submission of a Railway Order for a section from Tara Street Station to St Stephens Green which would effectively provide for a terminal hub station that can integrate with the Luas Green Line, multiple bus routes and future DART underground.	The above responses to the observations made explain why TII do not consider it is correct or appropriate that the MetroLink alignment south of the proposed Tara Station should be omitted, and also demonstrates why the proposed Charlemont Station has been selected by TII as the preferred interchange with the Luas Green Line A scheme which terminates at Tara Street would not be consistent with the Transport Strategy for Greater Dublin Area (2022-2042). In addition any decision to terminate the scheme at Tara will significantly impact on the overall viability and benefits of scheme.	